Remarks

Applicants have amended Claim 1. Applicants respectfully submit no new matter has been added by the present amendment. Support for the amendment can be found generally throughout the text, specifically at pages 6-9 and the Examples.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) or 103(a)

Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over <u>Oyama et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,651,995). Applicants respectfully traverse this ground of rejection.

Applicants submit to anticipate a claim, the cited references must teach each and every element of the claimed invention, either explicitly or inherently. Applicants respectfully submit that "in order to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art references must teach or suggest all the claims limitations. The teachings or suggestions to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicants' disclosure." See MPEP § 2142, citing In re Vaeck, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ 2d. 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Applicants submit the present invention is directed to polymer composite comprising at least one, optionally hydrogenated, nitrile rubber polymer prepared as recited in Claim 1, having a Mooney viscosity (ML 1+4 @ 100°C) in the range of from 50-30 and a polydispersity below 2.7, at least one filler and optionally at least one cross-linking agent.

Applicants submit Oyama et al. does not teach each and every element of the claimed invention. Oyama et al. discloses a nitrile group containing highly unsaturated PO-7959

copolymer rubber which is the product obtained by hydrogenating the conjugated diene portion of an unsaturated nitrile conjugated diene copolymer. According to Oyama et al. the copolymer is produced by a process wherein an unsaturated nitrile monomer and a conjugated diene monomer are copolymerized in the presence of a free radical initiator (peroxide) by using as a molecular weight modified an alkylthiol compound.

See Column 6, lines 25-51. Oyama et al. teaches that by adding the molecular weight modifier in lots in the course of polymerization a copolymer having a number average molecular weight smaller than 35,000 can be obtained. See Column 7, lines 18-29. Further, According to Oyama et al. the copolymer rubber can be used in vulcanizable rubber compositions and/or adhesive compositions.

LANXESS

Whereas the present invention is directed to composites comprising low Mooney, optionally hydrogenated polymers. The low Mooney optionally hydrogenated polymers of the composite of the present invention are prepared via a metathesis reaction in the presence of a metathesis catalyst. Accordingly, the present invention is directed to composites comprising at least one, optionally hydrogenated, nitrile rubber polymer having a Mooney viscosity (ML 1+4 @ 100°C) in the range of from 50-30 and a polydispersity below 2.7, at least one filler and optionally at least one cross-linking agent. Applicants submit Ovarna et al. does not teach or suggest a composite comprising a polymer prepared via the claimed metathesis reaction.

Therefore, Applicants submit <u>Oyama et al.</u> fails to teach or suggest each and every element of the claimed invention and accordingly Applicants request withdrawal of this ground of rejection.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 1-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Fujii</u> et al. (WO 97/36956 believed to correspond to US Patent No. 6,489,385). Applicants respectfully traverse this ground of rejection.

PO-7959

Applicants submit to anticipate a claim, the cited references must teach each and every element of the claimed invention, either explicitly or inherently. Applicants submit the present invention is directed to a polymer composite consisting of at least one, optionally hydrogenated, nitrile rubber polymer having a Mooney viscosity (ML 1+4 @ 100°C) in the range of from 50-30 and a polydispersity index of less than 2.7, at least one filler and optionally at least one cross-linking agent.

Applicants submit <u>Fuiii et al.</u> does not suggest each and every element of the claimed invention. <u>Fuiii et al.</u> discloses a nitrile containing copolymer rubber having a Mooney viscosity lowered via high shear in the presence of an aging inhibitor. According to <u>Fuiii et al.</u>, the rubber has a Mooney viscosity of 5-35 and a molecular weight distribution of 3-5. According to the teachings of <u>Fuiii et al.</u> the Mooney viscosity of a highly saturated nitrile copolymer is lowered by 15 points or more by applying a high shearing force thereto in the presence of an aging inhibitor. <u>See</u> Column 1, line 64 - Column 2, line 8. Applicants submit <u>Fuiii et al.</u> fails to suggest composites comprising low Mooney, optionally hydrogenated polymers wherein the low Mooney optionally hydrogenated polymers of the composite are prepared via a metathesis reaction in the presence of a metathesis catalyst.

Whereas the present invention is directed to composites comprising low Mooney, optionally hydrogenated polymers. The low Mooney optionally hydrogenated polymers of the composite of the present invention are prepared via a metathesis reaction in the presence of a metathesis catalyst. Accordingly, the present invention is directed to a polymer composite consisting of at least one, optionally hydrogenated, nitrile rubber polymer having a Mooney viscosity (ML 1+4 @ 100°C) in the range of from 50-30 and a polydispersity index of less than 2.7, at least one filler and optionally at least one cross-linking agent.

PO-7959 - 8 -

Therefore, Applicants submit <u>Fujii et al.</u> fails to teach each and every element of the claimed invention and accordingly Applicants request withdrawal of this ground of rejection.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103(a)

Claims 6-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Fuiii et al.</u> in view of <u>Rau, et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,187,867).

Applicants submit <u>Fujii et al</u> in view of <u>Rau et al</u>, does not render the present invention obvious. As discussed in detail above, <u>Fujii et al</u>, <u>does not</u> teach each and every element of the claimed invention. <u>Fujii et al</u>, discloses a nitrile containing copolymer rubber having a Mooney viscosity lowered via high shear in the presence of an aging inhibitor. According to <u>Fujii et al</u>, the rubber has a Mooney viscosity of 5-35 and a molecular weight distribution of 3-5.

Whereas the present invention is directed to a polymer composite consisting of at least one, optionally hydrogenated, nitrile rubber polymer prepared as presently claimed in Claim 1 having a Mooney viscosity (ML 1+4 @ 100°C) in the range of from 50-30 and a polydispersity index of less than 2.7, at least one filler and optionally at least one cross-linking agent. Accordingly, Applicants submit <u>Fujii et al.</u> does not suggest the present invention.

Further, Applicants submit the deficiencies of <u>Fujil et al</u> are not overcome by combination with <u>Rau et al</u>. <u>Rau et al</u>. merely discloses rubber compositions comprising nitrile rubber and discloses use thereof in automobile belts, etc. <u>Rau et al</u>. does not suggest a composite as claimed comprising an optionally hydrogenated, nitrile rubber polymer having a Mooney viscosity (ML 1+4 @ 100°C) in the range of from 50-30 and a polydispersity index of less than 2.7.

PO-7959 . 9 -

Accordingly, Applicants submit the combination of <u>Fujii et al.</u> and <u>Flau et al.</u> does not teach or suggest the present invention. Therefore, Applicants request withdrawal of this ground of rejection.

Provisional Claim Rejection (I)

Claims 1, 6 and 7 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 12, 16 and 17 of co-pending Application No. 10/728,029. Applicants respectfully traverse this provisional rejection and acknowledge Examiners deferment of filing a terminal disclaimer or applicable argument until it is evident which application will become allowable first.

Provisional Claim Rejection (II)

Claims 1-7 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/648,867. Applicants respectfully traverse this provisional rejection and acknowledge Examiners deferment of filing a terminal disclaimer or applicable argument until it is evident which application will become allowable first.

Respectfully submitted,

Jennifel R. Seng/ Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 45,851

LANXESS Corporation
Law & Intellectual Property Department
111 RIDC Park West Drive
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15275-1112
(412) 809-2233
FACSIMILE PHONE NUMBER:
(412) 809-1054

\sr\S:\Law Shared\SHARED\JRS\PATENTS\7959\amendment 3-13-06.doc

PO-7959

- 10 -